

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 25-29 were examined. Applicants have amended claims 1, 6, 25, and 26, and canceled claims 11, 12, 28, and 29. The amendments find support throughout the specification, including page 20, lines 4-20, and page 3, lines 8-40, and therefore introduce no new matter.

35 U.S.C. §103

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 25-26 as allegedly obvious over U.S. Pat. No. 6,743,436 to Lee in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,452,817 to Glen as evidenced by U.S. Pat. No. 6,623,765 to Dennis. Applicants have amended the claims to recite "consisting essentially of" to define the composition more particularly. Applicants submit that the proposed combination of references does not establish *prima facie* obviousness because the references do not collectively provide all of the features of the amended claims.

Obviousness Double Patenting

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 25-29 for alleged obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Pat. No. 7,550,155. Applicants note the rejection, and will file a terminal disclaimer if the pending claims are indicated as allowable.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks submitted herewith, and solicit a notification of allowability. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 610-407-0700 to facilitate allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Paul F. Prestia, Reg. No. 23,031  
Brian A. Cocca, Ph.D., Reg. No. 58,583  
Attorneys for Applicants

PFP/BAC/img

Dated: October 7, 2009

P.O. Box 980  
Valley Forge, PA 19482  
(610) 407-0700

The Director is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. 18-0350 for any additional fees, or any underpayment or credit for overpayment in connection herewith.